UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.  | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.  | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------------|
| 10/578,602   | 05/08/2006  | Steffen Hardt        | FMW-CP-PCT-US        | 6981             |
|  | 7590        | EXAMINER             |                      |                  |
| 2020 FRONT STREET<br>SUITE 307<br>CUYAHOGA FALLS, OH 44221 |             |                      | DIETERLE, JENNIFER M |                  |
|  |             |                      | ART UNIT             | PAPER NUMBER     |
|  |             |                      | 1759                 |                  |
|  |             |                      |                      |                  |
|  |             |                      | MAIL DATE            | DELIVERY MODE    |
|  |             |                      | 05/02/2011           | PAPER            |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No.   | Applicant(s)   |
|-------------------|----------------|
| 10/578,602        | HARDT, STEFFEN |
| Examiner          | Art Unit       |
| Jennifer Dieterle | 1759           |

|   | Jennifer Dieterle  | 1759  |   |  |  |
|---|--|---|---|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe   | ars on the cover sheet with the c  | correspondence add  | ress  |  |  |
| THE REPLY FILED 30 March 2011 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP  | PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A   | ALLOWANCE.  |   |  |  |
| 1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:   | ring replies: (1) an amendment, aff<br>ice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c  | idavit, or other eviden<br>compliance with 37 C                                     | ce, which<br>FR 41.31; or (3)                                 |  |  |
| <ul> <li>a)</li></ul>   | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth<br>ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing  | g date of the final rejection   | on.   |  |  |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | 06.07(f).  on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing da | 36(a) and the appropria<br>of the fee. The appropri<br>inally set in the final Offi | te extension fee<br>ate extension fee<br>ce action; or (2) as |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp<br/>filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter<br/>a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed<br/>AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>   | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to  | avoid dismissal of th   |   |  |  |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to (a) They raise new issues that would require further con (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet  | nsideration and/or search (see NO w);  | TE below);  |   |  |  |
| appeal; and/or  (d) They present additional claims without canceling a continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1  | 16 and 41.33(a)).  |   | (DTOL 204)  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>4.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12</li> <li>5.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> </ul>   |  | mpliant Amendment   | (PTOL-324).   |  |  |
| <ul> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cance non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ul>   |  |   |   |  |  |
| 7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 4,6,12,13 and 19. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:   |  | II be entered and an e  | explanation of  |  |  |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE   |  |   |   |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu<br/>because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and<br/>was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>  | d sufficient reasons why the affidav   | it or other evidence is   | necessary and   |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing<br/>entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o<br/>showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>  | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under apper<br>and was not earlier presented. S  | al and/or appellant fai<br>ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1                                     | ls to provide a ).  |  |  |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation<br>REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER   | n of the status of the claims after e  | ntry is below or attacr   | ied.  |  |  |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.  | t does NOT place the application in  | n condition for allowar   | nce because:  |  |  |
| <ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s).</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>  | (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)  |   |   |  |  |
| /Jeffrey T. Barton/<br>Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1759   |  |   |   |  |  |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Claim 20 has been added and will require additional search and consideration.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Claim 20 would require additional search and consideration.